Electromagnetic applications

Topic 6

Reading assignment

- Chung, Composite Materials, Ch. 5.
- No. 81 under "Publications carbon" in website http://www.wings.buffalo.edu/a cademic/department/eng/mae/c mrl









Electromagnetic radiation is in the form of waves called photons. The important characteristics of the photons—their energy *E*, wavelength *?*, and frequency *?*—are related by the equation

$$E = hv = \frac{hc}{\lambda}$$







Electromagnetic shielding applications

- Electronic enclosures (e.g., computers, pacemaker leads, rooms, aircraft, etc.)
- Radiation source enclosures (e.g., telephone receivers)
- Cell-phone proof buildings
- Deterring electromagnetic form of spying

Electromagnetic applications

- Electronic pollution
- Telecommunication
- Microwave electronics
- Microwave processing
- Lateral guidance















The attenuation in decibels (dB) is defined as

Attenuation (dB) = $20 \log_{10} (E_i/E)$,

where E_i is the incident field and E is the transmitted or reflected field. Note that $E_i > E$.



Mechanisms of interaction of electromagnetic radiation with materials

- Reflection
- Absorption
- Multiple reflection



Skin depth (
$$\delta$$
)
 $d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{pfms}},$
where $f =$ frequency,
 $\mu =$ magnetic permeability = $\mu_0 \mu_r$,
 $\mu_r =$ relative magnetic permeability,
 $\mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7}$ H/m, and
 $\sigma =$ electrical conductivity in Ω^{-1} m⁻¹.















Material	\mathbf{s}_{r}	m,	s,m,	s ,/ m ,
Silver	1.05	1	1.05	1.05
Copper	1	1	1	1
Gold	0.7	1	0.7	0.7
Aluminum	0.61	1	0.61	0.61
Brass	0.26	1	0.26	0.26
Bronze	0.18	1	0.18	0.18
Tin	0.15	1	0.15	0.15
Lead	0.08	1	0.08	0.08
Nickel	0.2	100	20	2 x 10 ⁻³
Stainless steel (430)	0.02	500	10	4 x 10-5
Mumetal (at 1 kHz)	0.03	20,000	600	1.5 x 10
Superpermalloy (at 1 kHz)	0.03	100,000	3,000	3 x 10-7

Electromagnetic shielding materials

- Nanofiber is more effective than fiber, due to small diameter and the skin effect.
- Thermoplastic matrix: nanofiber (19 vol.%) gives shielding 74 dB at 1 GHz, whereas fiber (20 vol.%, 3000 microns long) gives 46 dB.
- Cement matrix: nanofiber (0.5 vol.%) gives 26 dB, whereas fiber (0.8 vol.%) gives 15 dB.

Nanofiber inferior to the following fillers for shielding

- Carbon fiber (400 microns long)
- Nickel fibers (2 and 20 microns diameter)
- Aluminum flakes

Nickel coated carbon nanofiber

- Diameter: 0.4 micron
- Carbon core diameter: 0.1 micron
- Nickel by electroplating
- 87 dB at 7 vol.% (thermoplastic matrix)
- Much better than uncoated carbon nanofiber and nickel fibers.

Filler	Vol. %	EMI shielding effectiveness (dB)
Al flakes (15 x 15 x 0.5 µm)	20	26
Steel fibers (1.6 μ m dia. x 30 ~ 56 μ m)	20	42
Carbon fibers (10 µm dia. x 400 µm)	20	19
Ni particles (1~5 µmdia.)	9.4	23
Ni fibers (20 µm dia. x 1 mm)	19	5
Ni fibers (2 µmdia. x 2 mm)	7	58
Carbon filaments (0.1 μ m dia. x > 100 μ m)	7	32
Ni filaments ($0.4 \mu m$ dia. x > 100 μm)	7	87

Cement pastes (with 1 vol. % conductive admixture) for EMI shielding at 1 GHz

Steel fiber (8 microns) 58 dB
Carbon fiber (15 microns) 15 dB
Carbon nanofiber (0.1 micron) 35 dB
Graphite powder (0.7 micron) 22 dB
Coke powder (less than 75 microns) 47 dB





EMI gasket materials

- Metal coated elastomers
- Elastomers filled with conductive particles
- Flexible graphite

Reasons for outstanding performance of flexible graphite (130 dB at 1 GHz)

- High specific surface area
- Resilience
- Conductive

Material	Shielding effectiveness	Thickness (mm, ±0.02)	Resistivity (Ωm)
	a t 0.3 MHz – 1.5 GHz (dB)		
Flexible graphite A	125.4 ± 5.1 125.4 ± 6.5	3.10	$(7.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-6}$ $(7.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-6}$
Flexible graphite A	122.6 ± 8.9	0.79	$(7.10 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-6}$
Flexible graphite B	120.6 ± 7.0	1.14	$(1.6 \pm 0.3) \ge 10^{-5}$
Silicone/Ag-Cu	120.4 ± 9.5	3.00	$(7.5 \pm 1.4) \ge 10^{-4}$
Silicone/Ag-glass	116.0 ± 12.6	3.00	$(9.8 \pm 0.7) \ge 10^{-4}$
Silicone/Ni-C	93.7 ± 14.1	2.74	$(1.17 \pm 0.13) \ge 10^{-2}$
Silicone/carbon black	14.92 ± 0.56	1.59	$(4.46 \pm 0.15) \ge 10^{-2}$
Silicone/oriented wire	0.31 ± 0.13	1.59	(1.07 ±0.17) x 10



Table 1 Attenuation upon transmission, attenuation upon reflection and transverse electrical resistivity of carbon fiber epoxy-matrix composites at 1.0–1.5 GHz.							
Fiber type	Attenuation upon Attenuation upon Resistivity Fiber type transmission (dB) reflection (dB) (Ω.mm)						
Ax-received	29.6 ± 0.9	1.3 ± 0.2	20.7 ± 2.4				
Ep oxy coated	23.8 ± 0.8	1.7 ± 0.2	70.9 ± 3.8				

Table 2 To composite	Table 2 Tensile properties of carbon fiber epoxy-matrix composites. Standard deviations are shown in parentheses				
Fiber type	Strength (MPa)	Modulus (GPa)	Elongation at break (%)		
∆s-received	718 (11)	85.5 (3.8)	0.84 (0.03)		
Lipoxy coated	626 (21)	73.5 (4.4)	0.85 (0.03)		

Table 1 Attenuation under transmission and under reflection of carbon fiber composites.				
Fiber type	Attenuation upon transmission (dB)	Attenuation upon reflection (dB)		
As-received	29.6 ± 0.9	1.3 ± 0.2		
Activated	38.8 ± 0.8	1.2 ± 0.2		

Table 2	Tensile properties of carbon fibers before and after activation.
	Standard deviations are shown in parentheses.

Fiber type	Strength (MPa)	<u>Modulus (GPa)</u>
As-received ^a	665 (87)	126 (7)
Activated ^b	727 (151)	138 (15)

^a Six specimens tested

^b Eight specimens tested

able fleci	I EMI shielding effectiveness (attenuation upon transmission), attenuation upo metion and electrical resistivity.					
No.	Material on Mylar (ratio of ingredients by volume)	Attenuation upon transmission (dB)	Attenuation upon reflection (dB)	Resistivity (Ωcm)		
E	None	0.7 ± 0.1	21.6 ± 0.8	10 ^{18 a}		
2	Base paint	1.3 ± 0.2	16.8 ± 0.9	1014		
3	Base paint + mumetal(100 : 2)	3.2 ± 0.3	15.9 ± 0.8	33.0 ± 1.6		
4	Base paint + mumetal(100 : 5)	5.3 ± 0.4	8.9 ± 0.6	27.8 ± 0.9		
5,	Base paint + mumetal(100 : 10) ^b	6.9 ± 0.3	6.4 ± 0.4	25.4 ± 0.8		
Ton Viax	n DuPont's datasheet for Mylar. imum possible filler content.					

Bow	Material on Mylar	Attenuation upon transmission	Attenuation upon reflection	Resistivity
150. 16	Base paint + graphite flake (100 : 10) ^b	(dB) 8.5±0.4	(dB) 5.6±0.5	(22 cm) 22.0 ± 1.0
7	Base paint + graphite flake + mumetal (100 : 10 : 2)	8.8 ± 0.5	5.7 ± 0.5	22.5 ± 0.8

Material on Mylar (ratio of ingredients by volume) Base paint + nickel powder I (100 : 10)* Base paint + nickel powder I + mumetal (100 : 10 : 2)	Attenuation upon transmission (dB) 5.8 ± 0.4 5.6 ± 0.3	Attenuation upon reflection (dB) 7.7 ± 0.5 7.9 ± 0.4	Resistivity (Ω cm) 23.5 ± 1.2 25.3 ± 0.9
--	---	---	---

	1 EMI shielding effectiveness (attenuation upon transmission), attenuation upor transmission), attenuation upor transmission and electrical resistivity (cont'd).				
Pow No.	Material on Mylar (ratio of ingredients by volume)	Attenuation upon transmission (dB)	Attenuation upon reflection (dB)	Resistivity (Ωcm)	
10	Base paint + nickel powder II (100:10)	16.2 ± 0.5	3.9±0.1	8.3±0.3	
11	Base paint + nickel powder II (100:20) ^b	26.2 ± 0.6	1.8 ± 0.1	4.7±0.3	
12	Base paint + nickel powder II + mumetal (100 : 20 : 2)	29.3 ± 0.5	1.9 ± 0.1	4.9 ± 0.4	
•Fr	om DuPont's datasheet for Mylar. aximum possible filler content.				

Table 1 EMI shielding effectiveness (attenuation upon transmission), attenuation upon								
Dow No.	Material on Mylar (ratio of ingredients by volume)	Attenuation upon transmission (dB)	Attenuation upon reflection (dB)	Resistivity (Ωcm)				
12	Base paint + nickel flake (100 : 10)	25.7 ± 0.6	2.6 ± 0.2	4.3±0.3				
14	Base paint + nickel flake (100 : 20) ^b	32.4 ± 0.5	1.5 ± 0.1	3.4±0.2				
15	Base paint + nickel flake + mumetal (100 : 20 : 2)	38.5 ± 0.7	1.6 ± 0.1	3.5±0.3				
∗ Fi ÷ N	om DuPont's datasheet for Mylar. Iaximum possible filler content.				-			

- Design an aircraft that cannot be detected by radar.
 We might make the aircraft from materials that are transparent to radar. Many polymers, polymer-matrix
- We might design the aircraft so that the radar signal
- is reflected at severe angles from the source.
- The internal structure of the air craft also can be made to absorb the radar. For example, use of a honeycomb material in the wings may cause the radar waves to be repeatedly reflected within the material.
- We might make the aircraft less visible by selecting materials that have electronic transitions of the same energy as the radar.

Table 5.1 Electrical resistivity (DC), absolute thermoelectric power (20-65°C) and EMI shielding effectiveness (1 GHz, coaxial cable method) of cement pastes containing							
various electrically conductive admixtures. Conductive admixture Vol. Resistivity Absolute EMI shielding							
	%	(Ωcm)	thermoelectric power $(\mu V/^{\circ}C)^{a}$	effectiveness (dB)			
None	0	6.1×10^{5}	-2.0	4			
None, but with graphite powder (<1 µm) coating	/	/	/	14			
Steel fiber 43 (8 µm diameter)	0.09	4.5×10^{3}	/	19			
Steel fiber 44 (60 µm diameter)	0.10	$5.6 imes 10^4$	-57	/			
Steel fiber 43 (8 µm diameter)	0.18	1.4×10^{3}	+5 ^b	28			
Steel fiber 44 (60 µm diameter)	0.20	3.2×10^4	-68	/			
Steel fiber 43 (8 µm diameter)	0.27	$9.4 imes 10^2$	/	38			
Steel fiber 44 (60 µm diameter)	0.28	8.7×10^3	0	/			
Carbon fiber ³⁷ (10 µm diameter) (crystalline, intercalated)	0.31	6.7×10 ³	+12	/			
Steel fiber 43 (8 µm diameter)	0.36	57	/	52			
Steel fiber 44 (60 µm diameter)	0.40	1.7×10^{3}	+20	12 ^b			

Carbon fiber 37 (10 µm diameter) (crystalline, pristine)	0.36	1.3×10^{4}	-0.5	/
Steel fiber 43 (8 µm diameter)	0.54	23	/	/
Steel fiber 44 (60 µm diameter)	0.50	1.4×10^{3}	+26	/
Carbon fiber 37 (15 µm diameter) (amorphous, pristine)	0.48	1.5×10^4	-0.9	/
Carbon filament ²⁴ (0.1 µm diameter)	0.5	1.3×10^4	/	30
Graphite powder 73 (<1 µm)	0.46	2.3×10^{5}	/	10
Coke powder ³³ (<75 µm)	0.51	6.9×10^4	/	44
Steel fiber 43 (8 µm diameter)	0.72	16	/	59
Steel fiber 43 (8 µm diameter)	0.90	40	/	58
Carbon fiber ³⁷ (15 µm diameter) (amorphous, pristine)	1.0	8.3×10 ²	+0.5	15°
Carbon fiber 37 (10 µm diameter) (crystalline, intercalated)	1.0	7.1×10^{2}	+17	/
Carbon filamen€4 (0.1 µm diameter)	1.0	1.2×10^4	/	35
Graphite powder 73 (<1 µm)	0.92	1.6×10^{5}	/	22

Coke powder ³³ (<75 µm)	1.0	3.8×10^4	/	47
Steel dust (0.55 mm)	6.6	/	/	5 ^b
Graphite powder 30 (< 45 μ m)	37	4.8×10^2	+20	/

Seebeck coefficient (with copper as the reference) minus the absolute thermoelectric power of copper. The Seebeck coefficient (with copper as the reference) is the voltage difference (hot minus cold) divided by the temperature difference (hot minus cold). ^b Ref. 72.

 $^{\rm c}$ 0.84 vol.% carbon fiber in cement mortar at 1.5 GHz 74 .